

Ferromagnetism in Electronic Models for Manganites

Jose Riera¹, Karen Hallberg², and Elbio Dagotto³

¹ *Instituto de Física Rosario y Depto. de Física, Univ. Nac. de Rosario, 2000 Rosario, Argentina*

² *Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Komplexer Systeme, Bayreuther Strasse 40, D-01187 Dresden, Germany*

³ *Department of Physics and National High Magnetic Field Lab, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA*

(February 1, 2008)

Ground state properties of the Kondo model for manganese oxides in one dimension are studied using numerical techniques. The large Hund coupling (J_H) limit is specially analyzed. A robust region of fully saturated ferromagnetism (FM) is identified at all densities. For open boundary conditions it is shown exactly that the ground state is FM at $J_H = \infty$. Hole-spin phase separation competing with FM was also observed when a large exchange J between the Mn^{3+} ions is used. As the spin of the transition metal ion grows, the hole mobility decreases providing a tentative explanation for the differences between Cu-oxides and Mn-oxides.

PACS numbers: 71.10.-w, 75.10.-b, 75.30.Kz

Doping of transition metal oxides with perovskite structure induces remarkable phenomena such as high temperature superconductivity, charge ordering, and anomalous transport properties. Typical examples are the layered Cu-oxides and Ni-oxides. Recently, another dramatic property of doped carriers in transition metal oxides has been revealed: at low temperature (T) $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$ changes from an antiferromagnetic (AF) insulator to a ferromagnetic metal as x grows from 0. “Colossal” magnetoresistance phenomena is observed as T increases from the ferromagnetic phase [1]. At the critical ferromagnetic temperature, T_c^{FM} , a metal-insulator transition occurs and the high temperature regime is a paramagnetic insulator. At larger doping $x > 0.5$, a charge-ordered AF state was detected [2].

The double-exchange (DE) mechanism has been used to explain the FM phase in Mn-oxides [3], since the mobility of the e_g electrons increases for a polarized background of localized t_{2g} electrons. However, recent reexamination of the DE model, where electrons move with an effective hopping proportional to the cosine of half the angle between the localized spins (assumed classical), revealed that electrons can actually collect a Berry phase that may induce states of low energy in the spectrum [4]. This effect could reduce the T_c^{FM} and alter the behavior of the system at higher temperatures. In addition, from recent numerical studies of the Kondo Hamiltonian for Mn-oxides it was concluded that the ground state at nonzero hole density is not FM but a spin singlet, although with local ferromagnetic correlations [5]. These results suggest that electronic models for the Mn-oxides more realistic than the DE model may have a phase diagram richer than expected which deserves to be studied with state-of-the-art computational techniques. Such studies would clarify, among other issues, whether FM indeed exists in the $T = 0$ ground state and what phases are in competition with it. In addition, it would be important to analyze the origin of the drastic differences between Cu-oxides and Mn-oxides regarding their doped-

induced properties. The cuprates have metallic and superconducting phases, while the manganites are either charge-ordered insulators or ferromagnets [2]. In this paper, both issues are addressed.

The Hamiltonian widely used for Mn-oxides is [3]

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle \mathbf{mn} \rangle \sigma} (c_{\mathbf{m}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{n}\sigma} + H.c.) - J_H \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \sigma_{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (1)$$

Here the first term represents the e_g -electron transfer between nearest-neighbor Mn-ions at sites $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{n}$. The second term corresponds to the Hund coupling ($J_H > 0$) between the $S = 3/2$ t_{2g} localized spin $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}$ and the spin $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$ of the mobile e_g -electron at the same site. Coulombic repulsion in the e_g -band is not included in Eq.(1) but it is considered in some calculations below [6]. Phenomenologically, it is expected that $J_H \gg t$ which favors the alignment of the itinerant and localized spins. For Mn^{3+} , where the e_g level is occupied, the resulting spin is 2, while for Mn^{4+} (vacant e_g state) the spin is $3/2$. Thus, at $J_H = \infty$ the effective degrees of freedom become $S = 2$ “spins” and $S = 3/2$ “holes” which is the language convention followed below. In this limit Eq.(1) reduces to a simpler hole-hopping Hamiltonian. For “spin” values $S \geq 1$, the hopping term at $J_H = \infty$ is [4]

$$H_{J_H=\infty} = -t \sum_{\langle \mathbf{mn} \rangle} P_{\mathbf{mn}} Q_S(y), \quad (2)$$

where $y = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}} / S(S-1/2)$ and for Mn-oxides ($S = 2$) the polynomial $Q_S(y)$ is

$$Q_2(y) = -1 - \frac{5}{4}y + \frac{7}{4}y^2 + \frac{3}{2}y^3. \quad (3)$$

For Ni-oxides ($S = 1$) $Q_1(y) = (1 + y)/2$ [7]. Hamiltonian Eq.(2) is rotational invariant and it acts only on links containing one spin and one hole. $P_{\mathbf{mn}}$ permutes the hole and the spin. The $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}$ operators are standard and in Eqs.(2,3) they act over both the spin and hole involved in the hopping process, i.e. the kinetic term not

only interchange their site positions but it can flip their spin projections as well [4,7]. This is an important difference with respect to the standard $t - J$ model. At finite but large J_H , Eq.(2) is supplemented by a Heisenberg interaction between nearest neighbor spins of the form $J \sum_{\langle mn \rangle} (\mathbf{S}_m \cdot \mathbf{S}_n - n_m n_n / 4)$, in the standard notation. [8] This term only affects the S spins since in hole states the orbital with mobile electrons (e_g) is empty.

As numerical techniques the exact diagonalization [8] (ED) and the infinite and finite-size density matrix renormalization group [9] (DMRG) methods were used. The special case $S = 1$ will be studied together with the relevant case for Mn-oxides ($S = 2$) to observe the effect of different transition metal spins in the phase diagrams. In addition, experience with Cu-oxides models shows that the analysis of Hamiltonians in 1D chains provides insight on qualitative features of the phase diagram that survive the increase in dimensionality. Since 1D is the best for numerical techniques, our study below is restricted to chains [10]. Note that the special case $S = 1$ and 1D has intrinsic relevance since the compound $Y_{2-x}Ca_xBaNiO_5$ with NiO chains has been recently synthesized [11].

First let us show that the ground state of Eqs.(1,2) in 1D is a fully saturated ferromagnet (FM) for hole density $x \neq 0, 1$ in the case of *open* boundary conditions (OBC) and $J_H = \infty$ (or $J = 0$). The proof is a simple extension of Kubo's results for the DE model [12]: the matrix elements of the $J = 0$ Hamiltonian Eq.(2) in the standard S^z -basis are *nonpositive*, which is shown by explicitly writing the polynomials $Q_s(y)$ in matrix form for a given link. For a subspace with a fixed total spin projection S^z_{total} , this property implies that the ground state is non-degenerate and the coefficients of this state expanded in the S^z -basis are of the same sign (Perron-Frobenius theorem) [13]. Since in each subspace with a fixed S^z_{total} the state $|S_{max}, S^z_{total}\rangle$ with the maximum possible spin S_{max} has all of the coefficients of the same sign, then the ground state in such subspace must be the ferromagnetic state. Q.E.D. As in Kubo's results, this proof is not valid for *twisted* boundary conditions, including periodic and antiperiodic conditions (PBC and APBC, respectively), since in this case across the boundary it is possible to move fermions from site N to 1 (for a N-site chain) collecting anticommutation signs which make the matrix elements not necessarily negative. For the same reason the proof is not extended to higher dimensions even with OBC. Another important detail is that each S^z_{total} subspace is assumed to be fully connected (i.e. all elements of the basis are reached by successive applications of the Hamiltonian over any of its members). Actually in the 1D $t - J$ model, the Hilbert space is disconnected [14] for $J = 0$ and the theorem does not hold. However, if $S \geq 1$ the Hilbert space is *connected* since together with a hopping, the spins and holes can flip their spin projections [15].

Solving exactly Eq.(2) on finite chains with OBC we verified the existence of ground state FM for any $x \neq$

0, 1. We also observed that using PBC(APBC) for an odd(even) number of holes (closed shell) for both $S = 1$ and 2, the ground state at $J = 0$ is again a FM. Thus, to increase the size of the chains that can be studied numerically with ED techniques, preserving the correct properties of the ground state, below closed-shell BC have been used in addition to OBC. On the other hand, using PBC for an even number of holes, the ground state is a spin singlet [5]. Thus, in principle these BC should not be used for finite cluster studies of $T = 0$ properties [16]. However, the boundary dependence of the ground state total spin on finite chains suggests the existence of low energy singlet excitations close to the ground state [4,5].

In Fig.1a the phase diagram for $S = 1$ is shown. Chains with up to 16 sites were studied with ED. Using the closed-shell BC, a robust region of FM was identified. The FM boundary was also calculated using the DMRG technique at $x = 0.25, 0.50$ and 0.75 with chains of up to 40 sites and OBC [17]. The critical coupling to reach FM obtained with ED and DMRG are in excellent agreement, showing that size effects are small [18].

Also in Fig.1a, regions of phase separation (PS) and hole binding (B) have been identified using ED by calculating the compressibility and binding energy from $E(M \pm 2)$, $E(M \pm 1)$, and $E(M)$, where $E(M)$ is the ground state energy for M holes [19]. PS is characteristic of spin-hole models, although not contained in the DE model, and it occurs at large J/t when the kinetic energy gained by making holes mobile is overcome by the magnetic energy lost. This has been observed in the $t - J$ model in both 1D and 2D [8] and it is not surprising to find a similar behavior in models with a larger S . However, at $x = 0.5$ PS appears at $J \sim 1.1$ which is much smaller than the coupling $J/t \sim 3.0$ needed in the $t - J$ model [20], suggesting that electronic PS may play an important role in the physics of Mn-oxides. Close to PS there is a region (B) where holes form mobile pairs. Superconductivity is likely in this regime which also appears in the $t - J$ model [21].

In Fig.1b, the $S = 2$ phase diagram is shown. Here the chains accessible to ED have 8 sites, while with DMRG we studied up to 30 sites. The finite-size effects on the FM line are small. As for $S = 1$, a robust regime of FM has been identified. We observed that the FM lines of phase transitions of Fig.1a,b are very similar if J is scaled as $JS(S + 1)$. PS and B are also present. Note that for $S = 2$ the intermediate metallic regime is very narrow, i.e. as S grows the trend observed in Fig.1a,b suggests that the PS and FM phases become dominant. To understand this effect note that the "vaporization" of a large spin cluster in the PS region, held together by magnetic forces of effective strength $JS(S + 1)$, can only occur if a substantial gain in kinetic energy, dominated by the scale t , is achieved by the vaporized spins in the hole rich region. Thus, the critical $J/t|_{PS}$ leading to PS should follow $J/t|_{PS} \sim 1/S(S + 1)$, or a faster decay with S once the reduction in hole kinetic energy due to the scattering with the magnetic background is considered.

This argument breaks down at very small J/t where the FM state is energetically competitive. Thus, in the large S limit the metallic regime at $T = 0$ would disappear in favor of PS and FM phases.

The study of the intermediate metallic regime is interesting since it may contain features of the paramagnetic insulator observed in manganite experiments at $T > T_c^{FM}$. As S grows, a tendency towards localization is indeed observed in this regime. For example, in Fig.2a the bandwidth W of *one* hole for $S = 1/2, 1$, and 2 vs $t/JS(S+1)$ is shown. It is clear that W rapidly diminishes with S . Similar effects are observed in Fig.2b where the kinetic energy in the metallic region is presented at $x = 0.5$. Such a mobility reduction is reasonable since holes destroy the magnetic order in their movement, paying an energy that rapidly grows with the spin. The large effective mass for $S = 2$ indicates that small perturbations away from a translational invariant system may localize the carriers. This effect and the dominance of PS and FM at large S is a possible explanation of the notorious experimental differences at low- T between Cu-oxides, which show metallic and superconducting phases, and Mn-oxides, which have FM and charge-ordered phases [2] (note that previous studies in Ni-oxides have shown that charge-ordering and phase separation are related phenomena [22]).

The Hamiltonian Eq.(1) does not include a spin-spin exchange between the t_{2g} spins since such a coupling arises indirectly from Eq.(1) mediated by the e_g electrons. However, as $x \rightarrow 1$ this RKKY coupling vanishes, which is not in agreement with experiments that show AF order at $x = 1$. To remedy this problem phenomenologically, a Heisenberg interaction with coupling J' between the “holes” (which carry spin) can be introduced. At $x = 1$ now the ground state would be AF as for $x = 0$. For completeness, the numerical analysis of Fig.1a was repeated adding a term $J' \sum_{\langle mn \rangle} (\mathbf{S}_m \cdot \mathbf{S}_n - n_m n_n / 4)$ between the $S = 1/2$ holes and considering $J' = J$ (see Fig.3a). Up to $x \approx 0.5$ the phase diagram is similar to the results of Fig.1a, but as x grows further the coupling needed to reach the FM phase is reduced, as expected. FM remains robust at all densities and small J/t .

Finally, in Fig.3b the phase diagram of Hamiltonian Eq.(1) solved exactly on a 4-site chain and using DMRG on an 8-site chain, both with OBC and at $x = 0.5$, is shown. Here, an on-site Hubbard repulsion U/t for e_g electrons is incorporated in the Hamiltonian. In agreement with our analysis of the $J_H = \infty$ limit, the ground state is FM at large J_H . As U/t grows, FM becomes stable even at small J_H which is natural since in the Hubbard model ferromagnetism tends to be favored in strong coupling. As with model Eq.(2), the non-FM region contains a small ferromagnetic component, i.e. for $J_H = U = 1$ and $N = 8$ using DMRG we find spin one in the ground state.

Summarizing, a numerical study of the 1D Kondo Hamiltonian for Mn-oxides in the $J_H \gg t$ limit and also

at finite J_H has been presented. The phase diagram contains a robust FM region at all densities in agreement with expectations from the DE model, and contrary to the case of the 1D $t - J$ model for Cu-oxides. For OBC we showed exactly that the ground state at $J_H = \infty$ and $x \neq 0, 1$ is FM with maximum spin. At finite exchange J , a narrow metallic window with low mobility carriers separates the FM region from a phase separated regime, not contained in the DE model. As the spin S grows, PS and FM become dominant, the intermediate metallic regime tends to disappear, and the mobility of holes in the non-FM region rapidly decreases.

We thank E. Müller-Hartmann, K. Penc, A. Sandvik, and P. Thalmeier for useful conversations. E. D. is supported by the NSF grant DMR-9520776.

-
- [1] S. Jin, et al., Science **264**, 413 (1994), and references therein.
 - [2] P. E. Schiffer, A. P. Ramirez, W. Bao, and S-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3336 (1995); A. P. Ramirez et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3188 (1996); C. H. Chen and S-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4042 (1996).
 - [3] C. Zener, Phys. Rev. **82**, 403 (1951); P. W. Anderson and H. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. **100**, 675 (1955); P. G. de Gennes, Phys. Rev. **118**, 141 (1960). See also N. Furukawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **63**, 3214 (1994); J. Inoue and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3407 (1995); R. Allub and B. Alascio, preprint.
 - [4] E. Müller-Hartmann and E. Dagotto, to appear in PRB, cond-mat/9605041.
 - [5] J. Zang, H. Röder, A. R. Bishop, and S. A. Trugman, preprint.
 - [6] Phononic effects, which may be important for the manganites, are not incorporated in the present analysis. Also the effect of orbital degeneracy has been neglected (W. Koshibae, Y. Kawamura, S. Ishihara, S. Okamoto, J. Inoue, and S. Maekawa, preprint).
 - [7] E. Dagotto, J. Riera, A. Sandvik and A. Moreo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1731 (1996).
 - [8] E. Dagotto, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 763 (1994), and references therein.
 - [9] S. R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2863 (1992).
 - [10] A similar approach has been used in the context of heavy-fermions and the Kondo lattice (see e.g. K. Ueda, et al., Phys. Rev. **B50**, 612 (1994)).
 - [11] B. Batlogg, S-W. Cheong, and L. W. Rupp, Jr., Physica (Amsterdam) **194 - 196B**, 173 (1994); J. F. DiTusa, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1857 (1994).
 - [12] K. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **51**, 782 (1982). A similar proof have been applied to the 1D Kondo lattice system with an antiferromagnetic J_H which also has a robust FM phase (M. Sigrist, H. Tsunetsugu, K. Ueda, and T. M. Rice, Phys. Rev. **B 46**, 13838 (1992)).

- [13] For a simple proof see A. Auerbach, *Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism*, Springer-Verlag, Page 54.
 - [14] Y. Nagaoka, Phys. Rev. **147**, 392 (1966).
 - [15] For example, consider a 3-site OBC problem for $S = 1$ and an initial state $|1/2, -1/2, 1\rangle$ (with numbers representing z -direction spin projections): here four applications of Eq.(2) connect this state with, e.g., $|-1/2, 1/2, 1\rangle$. On the other hand, for the $t - J$ model the states $|1/2, -1/2, 0\rangle$ and $|-1/2, 1/2, 0\rangle$ are disconnected.
 - [16] Similar boundary dependence of the ground state total spin have been observed in 2D $t - J$ clusters. See J. A. Riera, and A. P. Young, Phys. Rev. **B40**, 5285 (1989); S. Barbieri, J. A. Riera, and A. P. Young, Phys. Rev. **B41**, 11697 (1990), and references therein.
 - [17] To find the boundaries of the FM phase with DMRG we compared the energies of different subspaces with fixed S_{total}^z . The FM case is very precise, having a truncation error of 10^{-12} for $N = 100$ when keeping $m=200$ states per block. The states with smaller S_{total}^z are less precise due to a larger Hilbert space. For $S = 1$ ($S = 2$) we used $m=200$ ($m=100$), $N=40$ (30), with a truncation error 10^{-6} (10^{-4}).
 - [18] In the metallic phase limiting the FM phase, *weak* ferromagnetism has been observed using the DMRG method. For example, at $J/t = 1, x = 0.5$, the state with $S_{total}^z = 1$ is degenerate with $S_{total}^z = 0$ for clusters with $N \geq 12$ and OBC. However, the spin per site is so small that further work is needed to verify that this effect survives the bulk limit.
 - [19] Using DMRG we could not obtain with enough accuracy the boundary of the PS region for larger systems due to large uncertainties in the data. For the treatment of ground states with spatial charge ordering it is necessary to modify the standard DMRG method.
 - [20] M. Ogata, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2388 (1991).
 - [21] In addition to PS, B, and FM, close to $x = 0$ in 1D there must be a region with a spin-gap for both $S = 1$ and 2, which was not explored here.
 - [22] C.H. Chen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2461 (1993); S.-W. Cheong et al., Phys. Rev. **B49**, 7088 (1994).
2. (a) Bandwidth W/J vs $t/JS(S+1)$ for *one* hole and $S = 1/2$ (full diamonds), 1 (open circles), and 2 (full squares) calculated using ED techniques on $N = 12, 12$, and 8 chains, respectively; (b) Kinetic energy (hopping term ground state expectation value) in units of t vs $t/JS(S+1)$ obtained at $x = 0.5$ for $S = 1/2, 1$, and 2 using $N = 12, 12$, and 8 chains, respectively.
 3. (a) Same as Fig.1a but adding a Heisenberg interaction between the $S = 1/2$ holes of strength $J' = J$; (b) Phase diagram of the Kondo model Eq.(1) at $x = 0.5$ including an e_g Hubbard repulsion of strength U ($t = 1$). Open (full) squares are results obtained with ED (DMRG) on chains with $N=4$ (8) sites. The non-FM region indicates weak ferromagnetism in the ground state, according to the DMRG results.

Figure Captions

1. (a) Phase diagram of the $S = 1$ Hamiltonian Eq.(2) on a 1D chain ($JS(S+1)$ is the coupling scaled with the spin, x the hole density, and $t = 1$). The gray line and open points denote the FM phase boundary. Open circles(10), rotated triangles(10), squares(12), diamonds(14), and triangles(16) correspond to ED results using closed-shell BC (in parenthesis the number of sites). DMRG results for the FM boundary with OBC and $N = 40$ are also shown (stars). The solid line joining full circles is the PS boundary calculated with ED ($N = 12$). A hole binding (B) region exists between the dashed line and PS; (b) Same as (a) but for the $S = 2$ Hamiltonian. The boundaries of PS and FM were evaluated with ED on chains of $N = 8$ sites. DMRG results on $N = 30$ OBC are shown (stars). In the intermediate metallic region there is binding.





